

Dear FCC,
I'm going to be blunt. You're being stupid with XM traffic and weather. So there is some competition, cry about it. XM listeners have to pay for the service. What that says to me is that people are sick of local channels, which are free, and are willing to pay for something better. The local channels can either rise to the challenge or go out of business (or go to the government to get them to fight their battle).

Has anyone reading this ever went on a road trip? If you have you know how much of a pain it is to find a radio station to listen to when you are somewhere new. I live in Michigan and went to Florida this spring. Me and my friends drove and were almost killed because one of my friends is not able to drive and look for the local weather channel at the same time. Local weather, isn't that what this whole thing is about? Yes and if we would have had my XM radio we could have easily went to the local weather channel without any problems because the channels are easy to find and then my friend could have kept his eyes on the road.

I have one more random point. Did the local weather leave the news (on tv) when the Weather Channel came about? I'm not sure but I think we still have local weather in the news. My point is this, stop worrying about a big company supplying the local weather because if it isn't better than the local channel it isn't going to have a big impact. If it is better the local channel is screwed and that my friend is how capitalism works.

Sincerely
Caleb Johnston